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Research questions:
1. What perceptions of the Broader Impacts merit review criterion are held by engineering faculty CAREER awardees at four research intensive universities?
2. How have the CAREER awardees responded to the broader impact requirement? What specific activities or deliverables do they design and implement in response to the broader impacts requirement?
3. How has the NSF Broader Impacts Criterion changed the role of faculty at colleges of engineering at Very High Research universities?
4. Would CAREER grant holders continue to pursue activities aligned with broader impacts goals if NSF did not maintain the requirement?

Semi-structured interview method:
- Fall 2013
- Four institutions; 2 public, 2 private
- 46 participants: CAREER awardees, broader impacts support personnel, people currently or formerly affiliated with NSF

Findings include:
- CAREER award holders agree with the intent of broader impacts
- Junior faculty members experience tensions throughout the process of broader impacts strategy design, implementation and evaluation
- Messages from senior faculty, NSF program officers and broader impacts support personnel often conflict
- The broader impacts criterion encouraged many faculty members to explore effective methods of teaching about their area of specialization

Questions asked by CAREER holders:
- Should broader impacts plans extend existing validated projects or introduce new initiatives?
- Why are there inconsistencies across panel members’ review comments about broader impacts plans?
- How can successful broader impacts initiatives be sustained after the CAREER award expires?
- Do results matter? Why doesn’t NSF have clear evaluation criteria to assess the effect of broader impacts initiatives?

Suggested additional work includes:
1. Expand to additional universities.
2. Document ongoing implementation of broader impacts initiatives after the CAREER award expires
3. Analyze current practices and attitudes of former CAREER holders
4. Study senior faculty perceptions
5. Document university funding mechanisms for support of broader impacts work